Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	**Application No.** 09/893,998	**Applicant(s)** CEMIC ET AL.
	Examiner Arnel C. Lavarias	**Art Unit** 2872

All Participants:

(1) *Arnel C. Lavarias.*

(2) *Song Zhu (Applicants' representative).*

Status of Application: *Allowance*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *6 July 2005*   **Time:** *12:00 PM*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
   If Yes, provide a brief description: *n/a.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

3-8

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Arnel C. Lavarias
Patent Examiner
Group Art Unit 2872
_____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicants' representative to request authorization for an Examiner's Amendment to correct problems with Claims 5-6, as well as request authorization to cancel Claims 3-4, 7-8 as being drawn to non-elected claims, thus placing the application in condition for allowance. In particular, Claims 5 and 6, as amended, both recite the limitation "... the values of X and Y coordinates of the feature...", which is not supported by the specification (See specifically Page 14, lines 7-15 of Applicants' disclosure). It was suggested that "values of X and Y" be deleted from Claims 5 and 6 to correct this error.